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We present here a solution of the problem of how to construct analytically controls which give the optimal stability to a rigid body with a fixed point. We apply a theorem related to the direct method of Liapunov.

We consider a mechanical system consisting of a rigid body (a platiorm) with a fixed point. Its principal axes of inertia coincide with the axes of three homogeneous symmetric pendulums. These pendulums are set in motion by special motors. Such a system can be regarded as a gyrostat because its distribution of mass does not change in the process of motion. Let the fixed point $O$ coincide with the center of mass; let $O X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}$ be the fixed coordinate syatem; $O x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ be the moving coordinate system attached to the body and coinciding with the principal axes of inertia (axes of the pendulams).

TABLE 1

|  | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | $\alpha_{11}$ | $\alpha_{12}$ | $\alpha_{13}$ |
| $X_{2}$ | $\alpha_{21}$ | $\alpha_{22}$ | $\alpha_{23}$ |
| $X_{3}$ | $\alpha_{31}$ | $\alpha_{82}$ | $\alpha_{38}$ |

Let us introduce the following notations: $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ are the projections of the absolute instantaneous angular velocity, on the $x_{1}, x_{2}$, and $x_{3}$ axis respectively, $C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{3}$, are the moments of inertia of the system about the $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ axis respectively, $J_{1}, J_{2}$, and $J_{3}$, are the axial moments of inertia of the pendulums, and $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$, and $\omega_{3}$, are their relative angular velocities. The direction cosines between the axes $O X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}$ and $O x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$ are shown in the table on the left.

1. The statenent of the problem. The initial equations of motion. The equations of motion of our system are written in the form of the three Eulerian dynamical equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{1} \frac{d p_{1}}{d t}+\left(C_{3}-C_{2}\right) p_{2} p_{3}+p_{2} H_{3}-p_{3} H_{2}+\frac{d H_{1}}{d t}=0  \tag{1.1}\\
\left(H_{i}=J_{i} \omega_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

The symbol (123) indicates that the remaining equations are obtained by cyclic
permutation. The equation (1.1) are followed by the nine Poisson kinematic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \alpha_{i 1}}{d t}+p_{2} \alpha_{i 3}-p_{3} \alpha_{i 2}=0 \quad(i=1,2,3) \quad \text { (123) } \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must take into account that the nine variables $\alpha_{i k} \quad(i, k=1,2,3)$ are connected by six geometric relations

$$
\sum_{i} \alpha_{k i} \alpha_{l i}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, k=l  \tag{1.3}\\
0, k \neq l
\end{array} \quad(k, l=1,2,3)\right.
$$

Both here and farther the summation is performed from 1 to 3. In addition to (1.1) and (1.2) we shall introduce three additional equations desertbing the rotational motion of the pendulums. Neglecting internal friction these equations have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}\left(\omega_{i}^{*}+p_{i}^{*}\right)=u_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1}, u_{2}$, and $u_{3}$ are the controlling moments generated by the motors.
The systems of equations (1.1) to (1.4) describe completely the motion of our mechanical system. The obtained equations of motion permit a particular solution corresponding to the position of equilibriam of the principal body (platform) with the controls switched off $\left(u_{i}=0\right)$ :

$$
p_{i}=0, \quad \alpha_{i k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, i=k  \tag{1.5}\\
0, i \neq k
\end{array} \quad(i, k=1,2,3), \quad \omega_{i}=\omega_{i}\right.
$$

We shall try to solve the problem of partial optimal stabiligation of the position of equilibrium (1.5) ; to do this we ahall have to choose $u_{i}$ as functions of $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$, $\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \ldots, \alpha_{38}$, so as to ensure that, if initial perturbations are sufficiently small, then the platform will asymptotically move back to the initial position

$$
p_{i}=0, \quad \alpha_{i k}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, i=k  \tag{1.6}\\
0, i \neq k
\end{array} \quad(i, k=1,2,3)\right.
$$

This function should also minimise functional which fully describes the character of the transitional process. Also, the angular velocities of the pendulums $\omega_{i}$ may not reach their initial values $\omega_{i}{ }^{\circ}$. We shall assume $\omega_{i}{ }^{\circ}=0$. Our problem can be regarded for example as the problem of stabilization of the position of equilibrium of an artificial satellite rotating about the center of mass.

The angular velocities $\omega_{i}$ can, in general, be eliminared from our considerationa, in analogy with eliminating the cyclic velocities in analytical mechanics. In our problem the vector of the angular momentum of the system about the point $O$ is invariant, that is $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{const}$, and projected on the $O X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}$ axes it gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i}\left(C_{i} p_{i}+H_{i}\right) \alpha_{k i}=h_{k}=\mathrm{const} \quad(k=1,2,3) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the determinant of the system (1.7) is equal to 1 , we can solve the system with respect to $C_{i} p_{i}+H_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i} p_{i}+J_{i} \omega_{i}=Q_{i}\left(\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}, h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right) \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{i}$ denotes the determinant of the system (1.7) in which the $i$-th column is replaced by the column from $h_{k}$. Differentiating (1.8) with respect to time and using the obtained expressions we shall eliminate $\omega_{i}^{*}$ from the equations (1.4). At the same time we shall replace the derivatives $\alpha_{i k}{ }^{*}(i, k=1,2,3)$, by expressions from (1.2). This will result in the following system of three equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(C_{1}-J_{1}\right) \frac{d p_{1}}{d t}=-p_{2}\left(h_{1} \alpha_{13}+h_{2} \alpha_{23}+h_{3} \alpha_{33}\right)+  \tag{1,9}\\
+p_{3}\left(h_{1} \alpha_{12}+h_{2} \alpha_{22}+h_{3} \alpha_{32}\right)-u_{1}(123)
\end{array}
$$

taking into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{21} \alpha_{32}-\alpha_{31} \alpha_{22}=\alpha_{13} \tag{1.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way our mechanical system is described by twelve equations (1.2) and (1.9), with the variables connected by six equations (1.3). The angular velocities $\omega_{i}$ do not appear in the obtained equations, consequently we have to solve the conventional problem of optimal stabilization of the position of equilibrium (1.6). The phase coordinates are $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}$, and by (1.3) only six of them are independent.
2. Solution of the problem of optimal stabilization of a platform. Assuming (1.6) to be the equations of the unperturbed motion we shall construct the equations of the perturbed motion, preserving the symbolism throughout for the initial variables and the perturbations.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d p_{1}}{d t}=-h_{13} p_{2}+h_{12} p_{3}+P_{1}\left(p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}\right)+v_{1}  \tag{123}\\
\frac{d \alpha_{i i}}{d t}=A_{i i} \quad(i=1,2,3) ; \quad \frac{d \alpha_{12}}{d t}=-p_{3}+A_{12}, \frac{d \alpha_{13}}{d t}=p_{2}+A_{13} \quad \text { (123) }  \tag{123}\\
h_{i k}=\frac{h_{k}}{C_{i}-J_{i}}, \quad v_{i}=-\frac{u_{i}}{C_{i}-J_{i}}, \quad A_{i 1}=p_{3} \alpha_{i 2}-p_{2} \alpha_{i 3} \quad \text { (123) } \quad(i, k=1,2,3) \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ are the omitted terms of the second order of smallness. The quantities $h_{i k}$ characterizing the initial perturbations will be regarded as small constant parameters.

In addition to the equations (2.1) we shall also introduce the system of equations of the first approximations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p_{1}}{d t}=-h_{13} p_{2}+h_{12} p_{3}+v_{1} \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system (2.3) and (2.2) will be called the 'reduced' system as compared with the fall systom (2.1), (2.2).

The problem consists of the following: to determine functions $\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ of the phase coordinates so as to make the null solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=-0, \quad \alpha_{i k}=0 \quad(i, k=1,2,3) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

asymptotically stable and to fulfill the condition for a minimum of the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{i_{0}}^{\infty} \Omega\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) d t \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a positive function which shall be determined in the process of solving the problem. At present we shall only define the form of 2 , by putting
$\Omega=F_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)+F_{2}\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}\right)+\sum n_{i} v_{i}^{2}+\Lambda\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}\right)$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{\prime}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)=\sum_{i, k} e_{i k} p_{i} p_{k} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and remembering that later on we shall impose certain suitable restrictions on the constant coefficients $e_{i k}\left(e_{i i}>0\right)$, and $n_{i}>0(i, k=1,2,3)$; also, the function $F_{2}$ has to be defined, $\Lambda$ denotes the possible terms of the order higher than two. The function $F_{1}$ should be a positive-definite quadratic form of velocities, which the function $F_{2}$ will be assumed to be a positive (positivemdefinite if possible) quadratic form of $\alpha_{i k}$.

To solve our problem we shall use the fundamental theorem of the second Liapunov's method of investigation of the problems of optimal stabilization (see for example [1]). This theorem gives the sufficient conditions for optimal stabilization and is based on the Liapanov theorem on the asymptotic stability and on the partial differential equations due to Bellman.

At the beginning we shall consider the problem of optimal stabilization of the unperturbed motion (2.4) on the strength of the 'reduced' system of equations. By the theorem, the optimal control $v_{i}^{\circ}$ and the optimal Liapunov fanctions $V^{\circ}$ should satisfy the following system of four equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{1}}\left(-h_{13} p_{2}+h_{12} p_{3}+v_{1}^{\circ}\right)+\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{2}}\left(h_{23} p_{1}-h_{21} p_{3}+v_{2}^{\circ}\right)+ \\
+\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{3}}\left(-h_{32} p_{1}+h_{31} p_{2}+v_{3}^{\circ}\right)+\sum_{i} \delta_{i} p_{i}+\sum_{i, k} \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial \alpha_{i k}} A_{i k}+  \tag{2.6}\\
+\Omega\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}, v_{1}^{\circ}, v_{2}^{\circ}, v_{3}^{\circ}\right)=0 \\
\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{i}}+2 n_{i} v_{i}^{\circ}=0 \quad(i=1,2,3)
\end{gather*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{1}=\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial \alpha_{32}}-\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial \alpha_{23}} \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}^{\circ}=-\frac{1}{2 n_{i}} \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{i}} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain one first order non-linear partial differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{i} \frac{1}{4 n_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{i}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{1}}\left(-h_{13} p_{2}+h_{12} p_{3}\right)+\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{2}}\left(h_{23} p_{1}-h_{21} p_{3}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{3}}\left(-h_{32} p_{1}+h_{31} p_{2}\right)+\sum_{i} \delta_{i} p_{i}+\sum_{i, k} \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial \alpha_{i k}} A_{i k}+F_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)+  \tag{2.8}\\
& +F_{2}\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}\right)-1 \Lambda\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}\right)-0
\end{align*}
$$

determining $V^{\circ}$.
By (1.3) the perturbations $\alpha_{i k}(i, k=1,2,3)$ are connected by six equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k l}=\alpha_{k l}+\alpha_{l k}+\sum_{i} \alpha_{k i} \alpha_{l i}=0 \quad(k, l=1,2,3 ; k \leqslant l) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be regarded as integrals of the equations (2.2) and (2.3).
We shall now introduce a function $\Phi_{0}$ with undetermined coefficients consisting of quadratic and linear terms

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \Phi_{0}=-2 \sum_{i} k_{i} \alpha_{i i}+\sum_{i} m_{i} p_{i}^{2}+2 p_{1} \sum_{i, k} a_{i k} \alpha_{i k}+2 p_{2} \sum_{i, k} b_{i k} \alpha_{i k}+2 p_{3} \sum_{i, k} c_{i k} \alpha_{i k}  \tag{2.10}\\
\left(k_{i}>0, m_{i}>0\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

we assume that the function $V^{\circ}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 V^{\circ}=2 \Phi_{0}+\sum_{i} k_{i} \Phi_{i i} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, it represents a quadratic form in all the variables $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{39}$. Partial derivatives $\partial V^{\circ} / \partial \dot{p}_{i}$, which by (2.7), express the control, are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{1}}=m_{1} p_{1}+\sum_{i, k} a_{i k} \alpha_{i k}, \quad \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{2}}=m_{2} p_{2}+\sum_{i, k} b_{i k} \alpha_{i k}, \quad \frac{\partial V^{\bullet}}{\partial p_{3}}=m_{3} p_{3}+\sum_{i, k} c_{i k} \alpha_{i k} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (2.1) into (2.8) and equating the coefficients of the second order terms to zero, we obtain a system of algebraic equations connecting the coefficients of the functions $V^{\circ}$ and $\Omega$

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{1}^{2} n_{1}+a_{23}-a_{32}=e_{11}, \quad d_{2}^{2} n_{2}-b_{13}+b_{31}=e_{22}, \quad d_{3}^{2} n_{3}+c_{12}-c_{21}=e_{33} \\
m_{1} h_{13}-m_{2} h_{23}=2 e_{12}, \quad-m_{1} h_{12}+m_{3} h_{32}=2 e_{13}, \quad m_{2} h_{21}-m_{3} h_{31}=2 e_{23} \\
d_{i}=m_{i} / 2 n_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3)
\end{gathered}
$$

The remaining equations break down into nine sets, linear with respect to $a_{i k}, b_{i k}$, and $c_{i k}$. Each of these sets contains three coefficients corresponding to the subscripts $i=k$.

All sets have the same determinant

$$
\Delta_{1}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
-d_{1}, & h_{23}, & -h_{3_{2}}  \tag{2.14}\\
-h_{13}, & -d_{2}, & h_{31} \\
h_{12}, & -h_{21}, & -d_{3}
\end{array}\right|
$$

while their right hand side terms contain numbers $k_{i}(i=1,2,3)$.
We shall assume the numbers $d_{i}$ to be given and sufficiently large (the lower bound for $d_{i}$ will be determined later). Then, since $h_{i k}$ is small the determinant $\Delta_{1}$ will not be equal to zero and every set will have a unique solution. These are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11}=b_{11}=c_{11}=0 \\
& a_{12}=-\frac{k_{1}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{2} h_{32}-h_{23} h_{31}\right), \quad a_{13}=-\frac{k_{1}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{3} h_{23}+h_{21} h_{32}\right) \\
& b_{12}=\frac{k_{1}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{1} h_{31}+h_{13} h_{32}\right), \quad b_{13}=-\frac{k_{1}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{1} d_{3}+h_{12} h_{32}\right) \\
& c_{12}=\frac{k_{1}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{1} d_{2}+h_{13} h_{23}\right), \quad c_{13}=\quad \frac{k_{1}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{1} h_{21}-h_{12} h_{23}\right) \\
& a_{22}=b_{22}=c_{22}=0 \\
& a_{21}=\frac{k_{2}}{\Delta_{1}} \quad\left(d_{2} h_{32}-h_{23} h_{31}\right), \quad a_{23}=\frac{k_{2}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{2} d_{3}+h_{21} h_{31}\right) \\
& b_{21}=-\frac{k_{2}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{1} h_{31}+h_{13} h_{32}\right), \quad b_{28}=-\frac{k_{2}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{3} h_{13}-h_{12} h_{31}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
& c_{21}=-\frac{k_{2}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{1} d_{2}+h_{13} h_{23}\right), \quad c_{23}=\frac{k_{2}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{2} h_{12}+h_{13} h_{21}\right) \\
& a_{33}=b_{33}=c_{33}=0 \\
& a_{31}=\frac{k_{3}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{3} h_{23}+h_{21} h_{32}\right), \quad a_{32}=-\frac{k_{3}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{2} d_{3}+h_{21} h_{31}\right) \\
& b_{31}=\frac{k_{3}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{1} d_{3}+h_{12} h_{32}\right), \quad b_{32}=\frac{k_{3}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{9} h_{13}-h_{12} h_{31}\right) \\
& c_{31}=-\frac{k_{8}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{1} h_{21}-h_{12} h_{23}\right), \quad c_{32}=-\frac{k_{3}}{\Delta_{1}}\left(d_{2} h_{12}+h_{12} h_{21}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The formulas (2.15) show that, when $d_{i}$ are sufficiently large, then all $a_{i k}, b_{i k}$, and $c_{i k}(i, k=1,2,3)$ being of the order $1 / d_{i}$ are sufficiently smail. This will secure a positive-definiteness of the function $V^{\circ}$. At the same time the fuaction $F_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$, will also be positive-definite, since by (2.13) the coefficients $e_{i k}(i \neq k)$ are amall in comparison with $e_{i i}$. The function $F_{2}$ is given in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}\left(\alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{3 s}\right)=\frac{1}{4 n_{1}}\left(\sum_{i, k} a_{i k} \alpha_{i k}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4 n_{2}}\left(\sum_{i, k} b_{i k} \alpha_{i k}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4 n_{3}}\left(\sum_{i, k} c_{i k} \alpha_{i k}\right)^{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha_{i k}(i, k=1,2,3)$ are connected by six equations (2.9) the function $F_{2}$ can be made positive-definite. The region $F_{2}=0$ is determined by the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{21}=\sum_{i, k} a_{i k} \alpha_{i k}=0, \quad \Phi_{31}=\sum_{i, k} b_{i h} \alpha_{i k}=0, \quad \Phi_{32}=\sum_{i, k} c_{i k} \alpha_{i k}=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (2.9) we obtain nine relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k l}=0 \quad(k, l=1,2,3) \tag{2.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $F_{2}$ will be positive-definite if the position of equilibrium (2.4) is isolated. The latter takes place when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{D\left(\Phi_{11}, \Phi_{12}, \ldots, \Phi_{28}\right)}{D\left(\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \ldots, \alpha_{83}\right)}\right]_{\alpha_{11}=\ldots=\alpha_{31}=0} \neq 0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.15) the condition (2.18) can be written in the form

$$
\Delta_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{rrr}
d_{2} d_{8}+h_{21} h_{31} & -d_{8} h_{28}-h_{81} h_{82} & -d_{8} h_{82}+h_{23} h_{81}  \tag{2.19}\\
d_{8} h_{18}-h_{19} h_{31} & d_{1} d_{3}+h_{12} h_{82} & -d_{1} h_{81}-h_{18} h_{32} \\
d_{2} h_{12}+h_{13} h_{21} & d_{1} h_{21}-h_{12} h_{23} & d_{1} d_{2}+h_{18} h_{28}
\end{array}\right| \neq 0
$$

The above relation is certainly satisfied when $d_{i}$ is sufficiently large.
In order for the equation (2.8) to be exactly satisfied for a given function $V^{\circ}$, we must write the fanction $\Lambda$ from (2.5) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{23}\right)=-\sum_{i, k}\left(p_{1} a_{i k}+p_{2} b_{i k}+p_{3} c_{i k}\right) A_{i k} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Addition of this function does obviously not alter the sign-definiteness of the basic quadratic form.

Note that at large values of $d_{i}$ the relations (2.13) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i} \approx \sqrt{e_{i i} / n_{i}} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{2.201}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that large values of $d_{i}$ are equivalent to large values of $\boldsymbol{e}_{i \boldsymbol{i}} / n_{i}$.
In this manner we have shown that the position of equilibrinm (2.4) is atabilized on the strength of the 'reduced' system of equations (2.3), (2.2) by the controls (2.7), (2.12), and (2.15), if the constants $k_{i}, m_{i}$, and $n_{i}$, are such that: (1) the forms $V^{\circ}$ and $F_{1}$ are positivedefinite; (2) the conditions $\Delta_{1} \neq 0$, and $\Delta_{2} \neq 0$ are satisfied.

From these conditions and with fixed $k_{i}$ we can calculate the lower bound for $m_{i} / 2 n_{i}$. Besides, the control obtained turns out to be optimal with regard to the minimum of the integral of the functions $\Omega$, (2.5), (2.13) and (2.20).

It is easily seen that from the stabilization of the position of equilibrium (2.4) on the strength of the 'reduced' syatem of equations (2.3) and (2.2) implies the stabilization of (2.4) on the strength of the full system of equations (2.1) and (2.2). Indeed, in near vicinity of (2.4) , the terms $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$ in (2.1) satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|P_{1}\right|<\varepsilon_{1} l_{1}\left(\left|p_{2}\right|+\left|p_{3}\right|\right), \quad l_{1}=\max \left(\left|h_{12}\right|,\left|h_{13}\right|\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$, and $\varepsilon_{3}$ are sufficiently small positive constants.
The function $V^{\circ}$ which we constructed, determines the symptotic stability of the solution (2.4) of the full system of equations (2.1) and (2.2), since the time derivative of $V^{\circ}$ from the full equations differs from the corresponding derivative from the 'reduced' equations only by additional terms of higher order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} \frac{\partial V^{\circ}}{\partial p_{i}} P_{i} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by (2.21), does not change its sign-definiteness, arising from the 'reduced" system.
Consequently, the derived equation secures the stabilization of (2.4), taking into account the full system of equations (2.1), and (2.2). This stabilization, however, would not be optimal in the sense of minimizing the integral of the functions (2.5), (2.13) and (2.20), because the equation (2.8) wo uld not be satisfied on account of the appearance of the new terms (2.22). Nevertheless, it is possible to secure the optimal stabilization (2.4), by adding to the derived functions $V^{\circ}$ and $\Omega$ suitable terms of high order, which would take care of the terms (2.22). This method is not unique. For example we can, without changing $V^{\circ}$, add all the terms of (2.22) with the opposite sign.
3. Analysis of the obtained results. According to (2.7), (2.12) and (2.15) the obtained control $v_{i}{ }^{\circ}$ (the control $u_{i}{ }^{\circ}$ differs from $v_{i}{ }^{\circ}$ by the factors $J_{i}-C_{i}$ )

$$
\begin{gather*}
-v_{1}^{\circ}=d_{1} p_{1}+\frac{1}{2 n_{1}} \sum_{i, k} a_{i k} \alpha_{i k}, \quad-v_{2}^{\circ}=d_{2} p_{2}+\frac{1}{2 n_{2}} \sum_{i, k} b_{i k} \alpha_{i k} \\
-v_{3}^{\circ}=d_{3} p_{3}+\frac{1}{2 n_{3}} \sum_{i, k} c_{i k} \alpha_{i k} \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

has the property that the terms which are linear with respect to the velocities $p_{1}, p_{2}$, and $p_{3}$ and which have large coefficients $d_{i}$ can be separated from the terms depending on the coordinates $\alpha_{i k}$ whose coefficients are expressed in terms of $d_{i}$ and of the initial perturbations $h_{i k}$. The equation (3.1) depends essentially on the initial perturbations; the greater $h_{i k}$, the larger values of $d_{i}$ must be chosen. We shall assume that $h_{i k}$ are suitably small, and that their magnitude is of the order of $\alpha_{i k}$. Withont loss of generality we can assume $k_{1}=k_{2}=k_{3}=k>0$. (It was never assumed that $k_{i}$ were distinct). Then, by (2.15) and taking into account $\Delta_{1} \approx-d_{1} d_{2} d_{3}$, the control (3.1) can be put into the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-v_{1}^{\circ}-d_{1} p_{1}+\frac{k}{m_{1}}\left(\alpha_{32}-\alpha_{23}\right)+[2]_{1}+[3]_{1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbols $[2]_{i}$ and $[3]_{i}$ denote the omitted terms of the second and third order of smallness (taking into account the smallness of $h_{i k}$ ). Thas, the terms in (3.1) which depend on the coordinates, can be separated into terms of the first, second and third order of smallness.

It is useful to write $d$ own the control (3.2) in form of the functions of the angular deviations of the platform. For example let the coordinates determining the orientation of the platform be the three Krylov angles [2]: $\varphi$ (yawing), $\psi$ (trim angle), $\theta$ (rolling). The investigated position of equilibrium (2.4) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\psi=\theta=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The perturbation $\alpha_{i k}$ are expressed in terms of the perturbations of the angles $\varphi, \psi, \theta$ according to the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{12} & =-\varphi+\ldots, \quad \alpha_{13}=\psi+\ldots, \\
\alpha_{21} & =\varphi+\ldots, \quad \alpha_{31}=-\psi+\cdots,  \tag{3.4}\\
& =-\psi+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

The dots denote the terms of the order of smallness higher than one. From (3.2) and (3.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
-v_{1}^{\circ}=d_{1} p_{1}+\frac{2 k}{m_{1}} 0+\ldots, \quad-v_{2}^{\circ}=d_{2} p_{2}+\frac{2 k}{m_{2}} \psi+\ldots \\
-v_{3}^{\circ}=d_{3} p_{3}+\frac{2 k}{m_{3}} \varphi+\ldots \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The structure of the control equation (3.1) can be assumed in advance, by taking the following considerations. Let us consider the control

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}^{*}=-d_{i} p_{i} \quad\left(d_{i}>0, i=1,2,3\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that we can select $d_{i}$ in such a manner that all the roots of the characteristic equation of the system (2.3) will have negative real parts. The remaining roots of the characteristic equation of the first approximation system corresponding to (2.3), and (2.2) will be equal to zero. Consequently we can formally obtain the critical case of the nine zero roots. A complete and working solution of the problem of stability in this case has not yet been obtained. However, the right hand side members of the system (2.2) are such, that when the non-critical variables $p_{1}, p_{2}$, and $p_{3}$ vanish, they also vanish. That means that the last critical case is a 'particular' one [3], for which the problem of stability is easily solved. The equation (2.4) is stable, and asymptocially stable with respect to the velocities $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$. This means that the platform approaches asymptotically one of the positions of equilibrium, which is in the neighborhood of (2.4). It means also that for the position of equilibrium (2.4) the control (3.6) secures the asymptotic stability with respect to the velocities and the ordinary stability with respect to the coordinates. To make (2.4) asymptotically stable with respect to the coordinates as well, it is sufficient to add to the control (3.6) small terms which are coordinate dependent.
4. Effect of internal friction. In our investigations we neglected the viscons friction in the axes of the pendulums, which in real systems is always present and could influence essentially their motion. The equation (3.1) shows that the platform after initial perturbation
returns to the initial position of equilibrium (1.6). In this position the controlling moments $u_{i}$ vanish and the pendulums continue to rotate by inertia. However, the moments of the viscous friction in the axes $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}=-f_{i} \omega_{i} \quad\left(f_{i}>0, i=1,2,3\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

being not compensated, will cause the platform to move away from the achieved position of equilibrium. To prevent this, the controlling moments $u_{i}$ in the position of equilibrium (1.6) should not vanish, but should balance the resisting moments (4.1). Instead of the equations (4.1) we shall then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}\left(\omega_{i}+p_{i}\right)=u_{i}+M_{i} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the position of equilibrium (1.6) the moments $u_{i n}=f_{i} \omega_{i}$. By taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=-\frac{1}{C_{i}-J_{i}}\left(u_{i}-f_{i} \omega_{i}\right) \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we are able to obtain the following results. The required expression for $u_{i}{ }^{\circ}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\circ}=f_{i} \omega_{i}-\left(C_{i}-J_{i}\right) v_{i}^{\circ} \quad(i=1,2,3) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{i}$ are functions of $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \alpha_{11}, \ldots, \alpha_{33}, h_{1}, h_{2}$, and $h_{3}$ in agreement with (1.8), and $v_{i}^{\circ}$ are obtained in the form (3.2).

Finally we note that the obtained results remain valid also when the perturbations $h_{i k}$ are finite. Selecting $d_{i}$ sufficiently large we can satisfy all the inequalities given above and write the control equation in the form (3.2) or (4.4).

The author expresses his gratitute to N.N. Krasovskii and G.K. Pozharitskii for their interest and valuable advice.
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